Homelessness

By Continuum of Care

Oklahoma is comprised of eight Continuums of Care (CoC). These entities manage the provision of services to the homeless, among other functions. By definition, CoCs involve nonprofit homeless providers; victim service providers; faith-based organizations; governments; businesses; advocates; public housing agencies; school districts; social service providers; mental health agencies; hospitals; universities; affordable housing developers; law enforcement and other organizations that serve the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless (Continuum of Care Network pamphlet, 2015). These entities are governed by a community plan that helps them deliver services to the homeless and/or to prevent a return to the homeless. CoCs provide a variety of services aimed at outreach, engagement and assessment, including emergency shelter, rapid re-housing, transitional housing, and permanent housing, among others (Continuum of Care Network pamphlet, 2015).

The data below describes the characteristics of those receiving or eligible for services by each of the eight CoCs in Oklahoma. This data is collected by the CoCs on last day of January each year and reported on an annual basis. It is currently the best source of data available at the State level of understanding the demographics of these populations.

OK 500 North Central Oklahoma

OK 500 represents the north central region of Oklahoma, including Noble, Osage, Pawnee, Creek, Kay, Payne, Grant, Garfield counties and the City of Enid. There are approximately 136 homeless individuals in this area (100 of which are identified as sheltered). The majority of this population is over the age of 24. Most families with children are sheltered. There is no record of homeless youth and young adults in this region. The largest subpopulations of homeless in OK 500 include: the chronically homeless (29), chronic substance abusers (23), and domestic violence victims (24). The population of domestic violence victims in this area is disproportionately high, possibly because of the limited resources available in the region that address domestic violence.

There are a variety of shelter types available to the homeless in the North Central Oklahoma CoC. Eighty one of the beds are available for the sole purpose of emergency shelter to mixed populations. This CoC appears to have an ample supply of emergency shelter and transitional housing for homeless individuals and families. However, permanent housing options are significantly limited. More funds should be diverted to meet the long term housing needs of the mentally ill, substance abusers, and victims of domestic violence.

OK 500 North Central OK

	Emergency	Transitional		
OK 500 North Central OK	Shelter(sheltered)	Housing(sheltered)	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	38	29	29	96
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	14	19	7	40
Households with only children	0	0	0	0
total homeless households	52	48	36	136
Persons in households without children	38	29	29	96
persons age 18-24	6	8	8	22
persons over age 24	32	21	21	74
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1				
child	37	50	18	105
children under age 18	22	28	6	56
persons age 18-24	0	10	2	12
persons over 24	15	12	10	37
persons in households with only 1 children	0	0	0	0
Total homeless persons	75	79	47	201
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	24		5	29
Chronically Homeless Individuals	12		5	17
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	12		0	12
Severely Mentally III	5		7	12
Chronic Substance Abuse	17		6	23
Veterans	7		4	11
HIV/AIDS	0		0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	24		0	24

CoC Name: North Central Oklahoma CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	Total Bed I	iventory
	Family Units ¹	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds'
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	50	138	97	0	235	0	26	n/a	0	7
Emergency Shelter	29	75	52	0	127	0	26	n/a	0	0
Transitional Housing	21	63	45	0	108	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	7
Permanent Housing	4	8	9	0	17	n/a	n/a	5	9	0
Permanent Supportive Housing*	1	3	7	0	10	n/a	n/a	5	6	0
Rapid Re-Housing	3	5	2	0	7	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	0
Grand Total	54	146	106	0	252	0	26	5	9	7

Emergency Shelter for M	mergency Shelter for Mixed Populations Sul									Subset of Total Bed Inventory		
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units*	Family Beds	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds ³	
DVPNCO	Emergency Shelter	4	16	4	0	0	0	20	n/a	0	0	
Peachtree Landing	Emergency Shelter	2	4	5	0	0	0	9	n/a	0	0	
Stillwater DV Program	Emergency Shelter	8	16	2	0	0	0	18	n/a	0	0	
YWCA of Enid	Emergency Shelter	8	24	10	0	0	0	34	n/a	0	0	
Total		22	60	21	0	0	0	81	n/a	0	0	

OK 501 Tulsa City & County/Broken Arrow

The OK 501 CoC represents the city and county of Tulsa, as well as the city of Broken Arrow. Similar to all the other CoC data sets, most of the homeless include households without children. The majority of the homeless population is over 24 years of age. In this region, the largest homeless subpopulations includes the mentally ill, chronic substance abusers, veterans and victims of domestic violence. Of these subpopulations, the mentally ill and chronic substance abusers are the least sheltered.

There are a variety of shelter types available to the homeless in the Tulsa City & County/Broken Arrow. More than 350 of the beds are available for the sole purpose of emergency shelter to mixed populations. This CoC appears to have an ample supply of emergency shelter and transitional housing for homeless individuals and families. However, permanent housing options are significantly limited. More funds should be diverted to meet the long term housing needs of the mentally ill and substance abusers.

OK 501 Tulsa City & County/Broken Arrow	Emergency Shelter(sheltered)	Transitional Housing(sheltered)	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	451	174	97	722
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	40	44	2	86
Households with only children	3	0	1	4
total homeless households	494	218	100	812
Persons in households without children	459	174	97	730
persons age 18-24	31	27	34	92
persons over age 24	428	147	63	638
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	135	137	4	276
children under age 18	91	80	2	173
persons age 18-24	6	5	0	11
persons over 24	38	52	2	92
persons in households with only 1 children	3	0	1	4
Total homeless persons	597	311	102	1010
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	60		39	99
Chronically Homeless Individuals	60		39	99
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	0		0	0
Severely Mentally III	289		49	338
Chronic Substance Abuse	169		24	193
Veterans	101		13	114
HIV/AIDS	3		1	4
Victims of Domestic Violence	101		8	109

CoC Name: Tulsa City & County/Broken Arrow CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
	Family Units ¹	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds ³	Youth Beds'
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	91	328	541	5	874	0	99	n/a	52	28
Emergency Shelter	57	186	337	5	528	0	99	n/a	0	5
Safe Haven	n/a	n/a	50	n/a	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	13	0
Transitional Housing	34	142	154	0	296	n/a	n/a	n/a	39	23
Permanent Housing	8	22	344	0	366	n/a	n/a	153	116	0
Permanent Supportive Housing*	8	22	344	0	366	n/a	n/a	153	116	0
Grand Total	99	350	885	5	1,240	0	99	153	168	28

Emergency Shelter for Fami	ilies¹						Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory		
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units	Family Beds	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds'	Youth Beds
Tulsa County Social Services	Emergency Shelter	26	65	0	0	0	0	65	n/a	0	0
Total		26	65	0	0	0	0	65	n/a	0	0

OK 502 Oklahoma City

OK 502 represents the Oklahoma City area. The homeless population in this jurisdiction is primarily comprised of individuals without children (1264), the majority of which reside in emergency shelters (863). There are approximately 250 individuals in the area that do not have shelter of any kind. These individuals are people who have just recently fallen on tough times and have a small social circle. People who have been lately evicted, lost their job, or have significant medical expenses are usually the ones who do not have shelter. These numbers do not likely reflect the total homeless population in the region, as it is difficult to use the point in time data to get a full accounting of the homeless population. Anecdotally, it is possible that more than 5600 individuals are homeless in OKC alone.

The data also allows us to examine individual characteristics of the subpopulations of homeless persons and the whether they are sheltered or unsheltered. These subpopulations include: age, chronically homeless, severely mentally ill, chronic substance abuse, veterans, HIV/AIDS, and victims of domestic violence. While the Point in Time data does not hone in on the population of homeless youth, this group exists and is being identified by schools and service providers in the City. One provider, Be the Change, has created a drop in center for homeless youth in OKC. This includes those who are homeless living on the streets, as well as a potentially large group of "couch homeless." Recently, this group has begun employing formerly homeless youth to help them work the streets in an effort to identify and reach homeless youth in the metropolitan area. Of note, there appears to be a growing number of homeless youth who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender. The largest subpopulations of homeless persons in OK 502 include those who are categorized as severely mentally ill (522), chronic substance abuse (484), or are otherwise deemed chronically homeless (249). A high percentage of these subpopulations are identified as sheltered with one exception. Homeless persons with HIV/AIDS are often unsheltered due to the social stigma they receive from other people about their health condition (additional detail about this population follows in section after the COC's are discussed in this report).

OV 503 Oklahoma City	Emergency	Transitional	Unsheltered	Total
OK 502 Oklahoma City Households without children	Shelter(sheltered) 863	Housing(sheltered)	258	1264
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	56	15	0	71
Households with only children	0	0	0	0
total homeless households	919	158	258	1335
Persons in households without children	863	145	258	1266
persons age 18-24	55	6	13	74
persons over age 24	808	139	245	1192
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	166	49	0	215
children under age 18	111	33	0	144
persons age 18-24	11	1	0	12
persons over 24	44	15	0	59
persons in households with only 1 children	0	0	0	0
Total homeless persons	1029	194	258	1481
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	175		74	249
Chronically Homeless Individuals	167		74	241
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	8		0	8
Severely Mentally III	430		92	522
Chronic Substance Abuse	383		101	484
Veterans	123		55	178
HIV/AIDS	7		13	20
Victims of Domestic Violence	165		16	181

CoC Name: Oklahoma City CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	Subset of Total Bed Inve			
	Family Units	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds		
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	90	299	1,085	18	1,402	0	43	n/a	74	32		
Emergency Shelter	58	198	938	18	1,154	0	43	n/a	10	18		
Transitional Housing	32	101	147	0	248	n/a	n/a	n/a	64	14		
Permanent Housing	51	156	499	0	655	n/a	n/a	160	161	11		
Permanent Supportive Housing*	51	156	499	0	655	n/a	n/a	160	161	11		
Grand Total	141	455	1,584	18	2,057	0	43	160	235	43		

Emergency Shelter for F	Emergency Shelter for Families ¹								Subset of Total Bed Inventory		
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds
Wonderfully Made	Wonderfully Made	1	11	0	0	0	11	22	n/a	0	0
Total		1	11	0	0	0	11	22	n/a	0	0

OK 503 Oklahoma Balance of State

OK 503 represents the regions of Oklahoma that are not included in a regional or local COC's. Essentially, this COC accounts for the "rest" of the state. Most homeless people are sheltered in this data set, but not in statistically significant way. Out of the 198 total homeless households, 112 are sheltered to 86 unsheltered. Similar to that of the Tulsa region, "persons in households without children," above the age of 24 are significantly more homeless (127 to 10 persons age 18 to 24). Of note, "persons in households with at least one adult and one child," children under the age of 18 are more homeless than persons 18 and up. There are 87 homeless children under the age of 18 compared to the 71 combined total of persons above the age of 18. Also, these children are more unsheltered than sheltered. Lastly about this COC data set is that most homeless persons are victims of domestic violence, totaling 75 people. Since this COC accounts for all of the "leftovers" of the state in rural areas that are not included in the other COC's, possessing such a high number of homeless domestic violence victims is not unusual. There is the tendency in these rural areas to have a high amount of domestic violence issues, and homelessness is usually a step away for victims. The next most homeless subpopulation is the chronically homeless coming in at 40 people.

The majority of housing options available in this region are emergency shelters and transitional housing. These units are all open year around. Very few units are available for occupation by families with children (14). Given the prevalence of victims of domestic violence in this area, there is a need to grow the number of units that are available for this group of homeless and the children in their care.

OK 503 Oklahoma Balance of State	Emergency	Transitional	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	Shelter(sheltered)	Housing(sheltered)	47	136
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	19	4	39	62
Households with only children	0	0	0	0
total homeless households	104	8	86	198
Persons in households without children	85	4	48	137
persons age 18-24	3	0	7	10
persons over age 24	82	4	41	127
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	55	10	93	158
children under age 18	35	5	47	87
persons age 18-24	2	4	6	12
persons over 24	18	1	40	59
persons in households with only 1 children	0	0	0	0
Total homeless persons	140	14	141	295
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	8		32	40
Chronically Homeless Individuals	8		16	24
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	0		16	16
Severely Mentally III	7		5	12
Chronic Substance Abuse	9		12	21
Veterans	2		0	2
HIV/AIDS	0		0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	72		3	75

CoC Name: Oklahoma Balance of State CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	nventory	
	Family Units ¹	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	35	140	39	0	179	0	0	n/a	0	14
Emergency Shelter	16	95	39	0	134	0	0	n/a	0	0
Transitional Housing	19	45	0	0	45	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	14
Permanent Housing	17	34	0	0	34	n/a	n/a	0	0	0
Permanent Supportive Housing*	17	34	0	0	34	n/a	n/a	0	0	0
Grand Total	52	174	39	0	213	0	0	0	0	14

Emergency Shelter for Families ¹									Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units	Family Beds [*]	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds
Family Promise of Shawnee, Inc.	Family Promise	6	13	1	0	0	0	14	n/a	0	0
Total		6	13	1	0	0	0	14	n/a	0	0

OK 504 Norman/Cleveland County

OK 504 represents Cleveland County, as well the cities of Norman and Moore. The majority of the homeless in this region are over the age of 24 (39). The two most represented homeless populations include: chronically homeless (48) and mentally ill (43). Griffin, one of the State's mental health hospitals, is located in Norman. This facility, as well as other agencies that serve the needs of the mentally ill and those with substance abuse problems, Victims of domestic violence (24) comprise a notable subpopulation of the homeless count in this area. Domestic violence advocates are serving their clients well, ensuring that they are sheltered when needed. The same appears to be true for homeless veterans who are all sheltered within this CoC. A tent city exists outside the city of Norman along the river. This settlement is semi-permanent and remains undisturbed by city police. The population of this settlement is unknown.

This CoC has utilized its resources to build 164 units of permanent supportive housing. This investment demonstrates a commitment by cities in Cleveland Country to providing long-term shelter for homeless families. Women, with and without children, receive ample housing services from the Women's Resource Center.

OK 504 Norman/Cleveland County	Emergency Shelter(sheltered)	Transitional Housing(sheltered)	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	42	9	22	73
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	13	7	0	20
Households with only children	0	0	0	0
total homeless households	55	16	22	93
Persons in households without children	42	9	22	73
persons age 18-24	3	0	3	6
persons over age 24	39	9	19	67
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	49	18	0	67
children under age 18	22	9	0	31
persons age 18-24	4	0	0	4
persons over 24	23	9	0	32
persons in households with only 1 children	0	0	0	0
Total homeless persons	91	27	22	140
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	35		13	48
Chronically Homeless Individuals	35		13	48
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	0		0	0
Severely Mentally III	38		5	43
Chronic Substance Abuse	16		8	24
Veterans	6		0	6
HIV/AIDS	1		0	1
Victims of Domestic Violence	24		0	24

CoC Name: Norman/Cleveland County CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

							Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
Family Units ¹	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds
13	37	46	0	83	30	40	n/a	0	0
6	14	34	0	48	30	40	n/a	0	0
7	23	12	0	35	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0
50	122	42	0	164	n/a	n/a	5	0	0
50	122	42	0	164	n/a	n/a	5	0	0
63	159	88	0	247	30	40	5	0	0
	Units ⁴ 13 6 7 50	Units Beds 13 37 6 14 7 23 50 122 50 122	Units Beds Beds 13 37 46 6 14 34 7 23 12 50 122 42 50 122 42	Units ¹ Beds ¹ Beds Beds 13 37 46 0 6 14 34 0 7 23 12 0 50 122 42 0 50 122 42 0	Units ² Beds ² Beds Beds Round Beds 13 37 46 0 83 6 14 34 0 48 7 23 12 0 35 50 122 42 0 164 50 122 42 0 164	Units ¹ Beds ² Beds Beds Round Beds 13 37 46 0 83 30 6 14 34 0 48 30 7 23 12 0 35 n/a 50 122 42 0 164 n/a 50 122 42 0 164 n/a	Units ¹ Beds ² Beds Beds Round Beds Voucher 13 37 46 0 83 30 40 6 14 34 0 48 30 40 7 23 12 0 35 n/a n/a 50 122 42 0 164 n/a n/a 50 122 42 0 164 n/a n/a	Family Units¹ Family Beds¹ Adult-Only Beds Child-Only Round Beds Total Yr-Round Beds Seasonal Voucher Overflow / Voucher Chronic Beds² 13 37 46 0 83 30 40 n/a 6 14 34 0 48 30 40 n/a 7 23 12 0 35 n/a n/a n/a 50 122 42 0 164 n/a n/a 5 50 122 42 0 164 n/a n/a 5	Units¹ Beds¹ Beds Round Beds Voucher Beds² Beds³ 13 37 46 0 83 30 40 n/a 0 6 14 34 0 48 30 40 n/a 0 7 23 12 0 35 n/a n/a n/a 0 50 122 42 0 164 n/a n/a 5 0 50 122 42 0 164 n/a n/a 5 0

Emergency Shelter for Mi	ixed Populations								Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds
Women's Resource Center	WRC Shelter	4	9	6	0	0	6	21	n/a	0	0
Total		4	9	6	0	0	6	21	n/a	0	0

OK 505 Northeast Oklahoma

OK 505 represents the northeast region of Oklahoma, including Craig, Ottawa, Delaware, Cherokee, Adair, Sequoyah, Washington, Nowata, Rogers, Mayes, and Wagoner counties. There is a disproportionately high number of homeless households comprised of children in this CoC (24 out of 300). Eight of these 24 child only households are unsheltered. This area also has a high incidence of homeless victims of domestic violence (168). This group, for the most part, appears to be finding shelter. However, of the homeless veterans (51), the majority are unsheltered (30). The population of homeless substance abusers is also significant in this CoC (122). They, too, are finding shelter with only 10 reported instances of the failure to find shelter.

This CoC has invested in the creation of a significant number of temporary and permanent units of shelter for homeless individuals and family. There are 449 units of temporary housing available to individual and families in this region year around. An additional 90 units of permanent housing are available to homeless families and individuals. There exists a need for more units of rapid rehousing for veterans given the current population of unsheltered vets.

	Emergency	Transitional		
OK 505 Northeast OK	Shelter(sheltered)	Housing(sheltered)	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	155	33	47	235
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	29	3	9	41
Households with only children	16	0	8	24
total homeless households	200	36	64	300
Persons in households without children	156	33	47	236
persons age 18-24	32	3	19	54
persons over age 24	124	30	28	182
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	87	8	28	123
children under age 18	55	4	17	76
persons age 18-24	6	0	0	6
persons over 24	26	4	11	41
persons in households with only 1 children	16	0	8	24
Total homeless persons	259	41	83	383
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	81		22	103
Chronically Homeless Individuals	61		12	73
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	20		10	30
Severely Mentally III	33		16	49
Chronic Substance Abuse	112		10	122
Veterans	21		30	51
HIV/AIDS	0		0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	159		9	168

CoC Name: Northeast Oklahoma CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
	Family Units	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds'
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	55	167	256	26	449	0	0	n/a	0	26
Emergency Shelter	52	159	219	26	404	0	0	n/a	0	26
Transitional Housing	3	8	37	0	45	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0
Permanent Housing	9	30	65	0	95	n/a	n/a	n/a	14	0
Permanent Supportive Housing*	7	22	53	0	75	n/a	n/a	53	8	0
Rapid Re-Housing	1	5	5	0	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	6	0
Other Permanent Housing**	1	3	7	0	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0
Grand Total	64	197	321	26	544	0	0	53	14	26

Emergency Shelter for	Families ¹								Subset of	Total Bed In	nventory
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units	Family Beds ⁴	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds ³
Hope House	Hope House	5	18	0	0	0	0	18	n/a	0	0
Total		5	18	0	0	0	0	18	n/a	0	0

OK 506 Southwest Oklahoma

OK 506 represents the southwest region of Oklahoma, including Roger Mills, Beckham, Washita, Kiowa, Tillman, Cotton, Jefferson, Stephens, Garvin, McClain, Grady, Caddo, Comanche, Greer, Harmon, and Jackson counties. This region of Oklahoma has a small homeless population generally. However, there are at least 8 homeless households comprised of children only. While these households are sheltered, additional analysis would be useful to understand the trend that may be leading to homeless youth in this region. There is also a high homeless veteran population (25) in this region. There may be a correlation between the number of homeless veterans in this CoC and the presence of a military base in Comanche County, as well as a Veterans' Hospital in the area. Given the presence of these services in this area, investment should be made for more temporary and permanent housing for homeless veterans. There are Veterans' Hospitals in this COC where veterans can receive services. This may play a big role on why there is a significant amount of homeless veterans in this COC.

OK FOC Courthwest OK Basis and	Emergency		Harabalkanad	Tatal
OK 506 Southwest OK Regional	Shelter(sheltered)		Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	43	48	59	150
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	16	10	1	27
Households with only children	8	0	0	8
total homeless households	67	58	60	185
Persons in households without children	43	48	59	150
persons age 18-24	0	21	2	23
persons over age 24	43	27	57	127
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	45	33	3	81
children under age 18	26	22	1	49
persons age 18-24	5	2	0	7
persons over 24	14	9	2	25
persons in households with only 1 children	8	0	0	8
Total homeless persons	96	81	62	239
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	10		20	30
Chronically Homeless Individuals	10		20	30
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	0		0	0
Severely Mentally III	14		10	24
Chronic Substance Abuse	8		6	14
Veterans	5		20	25
HIV/AIDS	0		0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	19		0	19

CoC Name: Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
	Family Units ¹	Family Beds ¹	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds ³
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	27	170	127	10	307	0	15	n/a	5	10
Emergency Shelter	16	134	92	10	236	0	15	n/a	4	10
Transitional Housing	11	36	35	0	71	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	0
Permanent Housing	0	0	9	0	9	n/a	n/a	0	0	0
Permanent Supportive Housing*	0	0	9	0	9	n/a	n/a	0	0	0
Grand Total	27	170	136	10	316	0	15	0	5	10

Emergency Shelter for F	amilies1								Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
Provider Name	Facility Name	Family Units	Family Beds	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Total Beds	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds
Family Promise	Emergency Shelter	1	14	0	0	0	0	14	n/a	1	0
Total		1	14	0	0	0	0	14	n/a	1	0

OK 507 Southeastern Oklahoma

OK 507 represents McCurtain, Choctaw, Pushmataha, Bryan, Carter, Love, Pontotoc, Coal, Murray, Johnson, Atoka, Marshall, Pittsburg, Latimer, LeFlore, Haskell, McIntosh, Hughes, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, and Muskogee counties. There is a high rate of homelessness in this region, most of which seek shelter in small towns and rural areas. The majority of the homeless in this CoC are classified as chronically homeless (73). There are also a significant number of homeless that are mentally ill (49) and chronic substance abusers (50). The location of a correctional facility in this area may contribute to the disproportionate number of homeless in the CoC.

	Emergency	Transitional		
OK 507 Southeastern OK Regional	Shelter(sheltered)	Housing(sheltered)	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	121	10	70	201
Households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	32	1	20	53
Households with only children	0	0	0	0
total homeless households	153	11	90	254
Persons in households without children	126	10	104	240
persons age 18-24	19	1	23	43
persons over age 24	107	9	81	197
Persons in households with at least 1 adult & 1 child	86	3	113	202
children under age 18	49	2	46	97
persons age 18-24	9	0	23	32
persons over 24	28	1	44	73
persons in households with only 1 children	0	0	0	0
Total homeless persons	212	13	217	442
Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
Chronically Homeless	23		50	73
Chronically Homeless Individuals	13		40	53
Chronically Homeless Persons in Families	10		10	20
Severely Mentally III	20		29	49
Chronic Substance Abuse	25		25	50
Veterans	8		13	21
HIV/AIDS	1		2	3
Victims of Domestic Violence	26		3	29

CoC Name: Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

Summary of all beds reported by Continuum of Care:

								Subset of	Total Bed I	nventory
	Family Units ¹	Family Beds ^a	Adult-Only Beds	Child-Only Beds	Total Yr- Round Beds	Seasonal	Overflow / Voucher	Chronic Beds ²	Veteran Beds³	Youth Beds'
Emergency, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	54	145	206	0	351	0	3	n/a	0	0
Emergency Shelter	53	142	189	0	331	0	3	n/a	0	0
Transitional Housing	1	3	17	0	20	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0
Permanent Housing	19	71	23	0	94	n/a	n/a	2	32	0
Permanent Supportive Housing*	15	58	21	0	79	n/a	n/a	2	32	0
Rapid Re-Housing	4	13	2	0	15	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0
Grand Total	73	216	229	0	445	0	3	2	32	0

COC Conclusion

Each of the CoC's represents a unique area. It's important to note that the Point In Time data serves as a baseline. It is likely that the homeless population is much larger than counted. Generally, the State's homeless population is over the age of 24. In some areas of the State, there is a disproportionately high rate of homeless youth. More detailed exploration is necessary to understand the reasons which led them to this State and the needs of homeless youth. Domestic violence victims comprise a significant portion of the homeless population in the State. In some areas, the presence of social service providers for this subpopulation has reduced homeless rates. The same is true with respect to homeless veterans. As anticipated, the majority of the homeless population across the state can be classified as: mentally ill, chronically homeless, and chronic substance abusers. The needs of these difficult to house homeless must remain a priority across the State.

A Snap Shot of Homelessness in the State

Point in Time data was last collected on January 29, 2015 across the State. On that date, counts revealed a homeless populations of more than 3,000 residents. The majority of those counted (2,603 individuals) were classified as households without children. The majority of this group lives in emergency shelters (1,652) or transitional housing (376) with 575 classified as unsheltered.

The number of households with children is seemingly small totaling 343. The vast majority of those in this classification live at emergency shelters (201) or transitional housing (104) with only 38 classified as unsheltered. Homeless service providers in Oklahoma City and Tulsa emphasized that this group was likely undercounted across the State because they are less visible than other categories of homeless. They emphasized that emergency shelters, as presently designed, do not meet the needs of families with children in terms of both privacy and safety.

The Point in Time data reveals less than 100 households comprised of only children. Of these 74 counted households, 35 live in emergency shelters and 39 are unsheltered. This population is likely significantly undercounted as youth who are homeless typically seek to avoid identification for fear of being returned to their homes. These young people often have specific needs for supportive services that are difficult to deliver because the population remains unseen. Homeless advocates in the State hold up Tulsa as a good example of the State for serving homeless youth. OKC's Be the Change is also a leader in identifying and providing needed service to homeless youth in the metropolitan region. The problem of homeless youth is not just isolated to large urban areas. Mid-sized and smaller cities also look for innovative ways to service. Cities like El Reno and Enid have their own drop in centers for homeless youth. Social networks in smaller cities fill similar functions.

Oklahoma City public schools also tracks homeless students within the district. There are homeless students attending 78 elementary and middle schools in Oklahoma City. This data suggests that the majority of the city's homeless students are African American or Hispanic. There are 664 homeless African American students, 724 homeless Hispanic students, and 254 homeless Caucasian students. There are ten high schools in OKC that have reported having homeless students. Douglass and Capitol Hill high schools have the highest homeless student populations. Douglass has 50 homeless African American students. Capitol Hill has 49 homeless Hispanic students. The majority of these students can be classified as "couch homeless" or doubled up, meaning that they are finding

shelter with extended family members, friends, and other non-relatives for a brief amount of time due to hardship.

The majority of Oklahoma's homeless population is over 24 years old. This classification system is not particularly useful in helping to assess the number and needs of the elderly population, which is reported to be a substantial subset of this population.

The Point in Time data categorizes the homeless population into two categories: Hispanic/Latino and Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino. The lion's share of homeless in Oklahoma are Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino (3,528). In Oklahoma City, 62% of the homeless served are classified a Caucasian. Twenty-five percent of the homeless population is African American. Seven percent of the homeless in OKC identify as Native American. Less than one percent of those identified as homeless in OKC are Asian. By contrast, a relative small fraction of the State's homeless population is Hispanic/Latino. The Point in Time data identified a relatively small Hispanic homeless population, including less than 250 individuals. This follows OKC counts that identify 7% of the city's homeless population as Hispanic. Homeless advocates in OKC indicate that social networks, including churches and extended families, keep the number of homeless in the Hispanic population proportionately lower than their Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino counterparts. However, these individual likely classify as "couch homeless" and are in a continued state of being vulnerable to becoming homeless.

The PIC data indicates that are more homeless males (2,237) than females (1,535). This follows national trends. Care should be taken when interpreting this data, as women are less likely to participate in Point in Time counts. There is a growing population of homeless in Oklahoma that identifies as transgender. PIC data identified 5 individuals identifying as transgender. This population is likely much higher and will continue to grow due to family and national attitudes about this population. Transgender populations may require special housing accommodations, especially in the emergency shelter context, to provide for their social and emotional needs.

Another group of homeless individuals that merits special consideration in the distribution of resources is those identified as having special needs. This classification includes persons with "physical, mental or behavioral disabilities, persons with HIV/AIS and/or persons with alcohol or drug addictions. The Point in Time data estimates that there are nearly 1300 homeless persons with special needs in OKC alone.

The Point in Time data is coarse and does not do an effectively track homeless populations with specific needs, such as those persons who are homeless and living with HIV/AIDS. This special population of homeless is likely growing in Oklahoma. According to the Oklahoma State Department of Health there were an estimated 5,375 cases of persons living with HIV/AIDS by the end of 2013. There were a total of 437 newly diagnosed HIV/AIDS cases in 2013 for the state of Oklahoma. The vast majority of populations living with HIV/AIDS (nearly 72%) reside in urban areas. In OKC alone, the Point in Time data identified at least 25 homeless individuals living with HIV/AIDS. This is likely an undercount. Based on this information and anecdotal data from homeless service providers, special effort must be made to understand the housing, medical, and supportive services needs of homeless persons living with HIV/AIDs.

Shelter is crucial for homeless persons with HIV/AIDS in the management of this illness. However, traditional shelter setting(s) may not be suitable to house this population. Those with suppressed immune systems are vulnerable to the spread of infectious diseases which may be present in open shelters. In addition, shelter personally may not be properly trained in handling AIDS related issues. For these reasons, as well as resources made available by the federal government, homeless persons living with HIV/AIDs are often given housing choice vouchers, created by HOPWA, so that they secure housing on the private market. This can be challenging in constrained rental markets like Norman, for example, where affordable housing options are limited. It is estimated that more than 60 individuals living in OKC with HIV/AIDs are homeless because they have been unable to find a landlord that will accept their housing choice voucher.

State Name: Oklahoma

Point-in Time Date: 1/29/2015

nmary by household type reported:	SI	neltered		
_	Emergency Shelter	Transitional Housing*	Unsheltered	Total
Households without children	1,652	376	575	2,603
Households with at least one adult and one child ²	201	104	38	343
Households with only children ³	35	0	39	74
Total Homeless Households	1,888	480	652	3,020
nmary of persons in each household type:				
Persons in households without children	1,676	397	623	2,696
Persons Age 18 to 24	214	61	110	385
Persons Over Age 24	1,462	336	513	2,311
Persons in households with at least one adult and one child	595	293	108	996
Children Under Age 18	373	176	57	606
Persons Age 18 to 24	40	29	13	82
Persons Over Age 24	182	88	38	308
Persons in households with only children ³	38	0	47	85
Total Homeless Persons	2,309	690	778	3,777
nographic summary by ethnicity:	SI	neltered		
-	Emergency Shelter	Transitional Housing*	Unsheltered	Total
Hispanie / Latino	154	43	52	249
Non-Hispanie / Non- Latino	2,155	647	726	3,528
Total	2,309	690	778	3,777
nographic summary by gender:				
Female	1,004	272	259	1,535
Male	1,302	416	519	2,237
Transgender	3	2	0	5

Rural Areas

Homelessness in the rural areas of the State is much more difficult to calculate. Given the population density of the State, the majority of services that serve the homeless are concentrated in urban and semi-urban areas. Even if beds are available, many rural homeless lack knowledge about the services or a means to travel to receive the same. As a part of this study, OU students were dispatched into the 77 counties in the State to, among other issues, attempt to understand the degree to which there is rural homelessness in the State. Their qualitative inquiries yielded very little data, in part, because rural homeless is difficult to identify and often ignored. For the purposes of this report, a literature review was prepared on the topic of rural homelessness in the United States. The goals of this academic review is to assist policymakers and service providers in the State in uncovering the dimensions of this illusive population.

In the U.S., the rural homeless population is predominantly Caucasian. This population is comprised of single mothers, widowed wives and husbands, divorced and separated men and women, and young people. A study examining rural homelessness in Ohio found that nearly 40% of those who classify as homeless were divorced, separated, or widowed (First, Richard J., John C. Rife, and Beverly G. Toomey, 1994, pg. 101). Ohio's rural homeless were also relatively young. Close to 80% of homeless population in this study was between the ages of 18 and 39 years old (First et al, 1994, pg. 101). Rural homelessness is often less visible than urban homelessness because these populations commonly take shelter are at a friend's house, in their vehicles, or on abandoned properties. These populations can also be found on "...campgrounds or in hollows, desert canyons, farmers' fields, state parks, and highway rest areas" (Milbourne and Cloke, 2006, pg. 17).

The causes of rural homelessness mirror, in most ways, the plight of the urban homeless. The study of homelessness in rural Ohio revealed family problems and substance abuse issues as primary causes of rural homelessness. The incidence of homelessness resulting from situations of domestic violence is high in rural areas (Cummins et al, 1998). Substance abuse issues are a common cause for homelessness in rural America. The literature reveals that this population tends to be homeless because they have isolated themselves from family and people who want to help (First et al, 1994). In the case of both domestic violence and substance abuse, it is often difficult for these individuals to find shelter and the supportive services they require in rural areas where options are limited, if available at all. The thought of moving to an urban area to find both shelter and supportive services is sometimes not considered at all by these vulnerable populations.

Rural areas are also more prone to the kind of poverty that puts individuals and families at risk for homelessness. The number of people living at or below the poverty line in rural places is higher than anywhere else in the United States (Moore, 2001). The statement "rural homelessness is a microcosm of national economic and political developments" cannot be truer for American rural communities (Vissing, 1996, pg. 103). The disinvestment of small towns and their inability to attract long-term sustainable business development, cripples a small town's economy. In effect, this is a main contributor for why poverty is such a common theme for rural communities. As a result, the State should carefully consider its investments in rural Oklahoma. While there is a need for shelter in these places, the construction of this housing type should be weighed with long term opportunities for employment in the area.


It is not surprising that rural areas typically lack both emergency shelters and temporary housing options. Services that provide temporary housing and provide relief and support services for those who cannot find food are virtually nonexistent in rural communities across the United States (Moore, 2001). Sheltering the homeless is undercapitalized in rural areas because communities do not see a concentration of homeless individuals (Vissing, 1996, pg. 146). As a result, the homeless must satisfice where they are. For instance, for families who are homeless, some of them use a friend's house to store clothes or to seek shelter, while some receive assistance from churches (Cummins et al, 1998). Others migrate to urban areas where services are available and more accessible (Rollinson, Paul A., and John T. Pardeck, 2006).

The absence of affordable housing in rural areas is a root cause of homelessness (Levinson, David, and Marcy Ross, 2007). In fact, it was noticed that many of the people were receiving monetary assistance or previously had some money saved up to spend on housing, but these measures were not enough to keep them afloat (First et al, 1994, pg. 101). Housing costs rise in rural areas typically rise as a result of competition for a limited amount of housing stock. In some rural areas, low income families are spending 70% of their household incomes on housing, sometimes substandard housing (Vissing, 1996, pg. 124). As Levinson et al explain, "housing costs are lower but so are incomes, with the result of placing a heavier rent burden in the community" (Levinson, David, and Marcy Ross, 2007, pg. 45). Renters in rural communities, as a result, are far more susceptible to becoming homeless than their urban or suburban counterparts because they do not have the financial safety net sometimes associated with homeownership (Fitchen, 1991, pg. 193).

While this brief review of the literature describes the state of homelessness across rural America, many of the lessons learned are easily translated to an Oklahoma context. The condition and supply of affordable housing units is relatively poor in many rural portions of the State. Rent burden, as more fully characterized in the Consolidated Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) section of this report, is high. This leaves families living and working in relatively weak economies vulnerable to homelessness. Once homeless, supportive services in these areas are relatively limited, especially for the chronically homeless, those with substance abuse problems, and victims of domestic violence. Services available to these populations in urban areas may not be attractive to individuals and families who are accustomed to life in rural communities. Where practicable, more consideration must be given to providing supportive services and temporary and permanent housing to homeless populations wishing to remain in rural areas.

At Risk For Homelessness

Poverty is the primary factor that places Oklahoma families at risk of being homeless. There are many factors experienced by those living in poverty which leave residents more or less vulnerable to homelessness. For the purposes of this study, a social vulnerability index has been constructed to measure the likelihood or risk that residents living in poverty might find themselves homeless. This index includes factors such as single headed households, concentration of young and elderly residents, the reliance on public transportation, private vehicle availability, racial composition, housing type, presence or absence of a telephone in the household, amongst other factors. This index is additive and seeks to understand the collective impact of these factors in estimating the vulnerability of a local population. While employed in more significant detail in the section of this report focusing on disaster resiliency, this tool is useful in identifying areas of the State where populations may be most vulnerable to homelessness. The index utilized in this section is different from the one crafted in the Disaster Resiliency chapter of this report in that it estimates social vulnerability at the county level, rather than by census tract. The decision to study vulnerability to homelessness at the county level was made to help policymakers understand, more generally, where resources and economic interventions are most necessary to stave off the potential effects of homelessness. This maps presents vulnerability to homelessness on the county level, depicting the most vulnerable counties in dark green.



The Oklahoma families most likely at risk are those living in public and subsidized housing. They live below the poverty line. Even those who are employed, remain vulnerable to homeless because an unexpected expense, like a medical emergency, threatens their ability to pay for their share of rent owed or utilities. A missed payment can easily lead to eviction and homeless.

Through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Oklahoma service providers have been vested with more than 24,000 housing choice vouchers. Their spatial distribution is outlined below. Of significance is the size of the waiting lists for public housing units and housing choice vouchers in cities across the State. These individuals are the most vulnerable to being homeless.

			Public	
			Housing	Voucher
		Authorized	Waiting	waiting
		Vouchers	List	list
Ada	OK024	110	Unknown	Unknown
Bristow	OK033	87	Unknown	Unknown
Broken Bow	ОК006	217	Unknown	Unknown
Fort Gibson	OK118	44	Unknown	Unknown
Henryetta	OK142	115	Unknown	Unknown
Hugo	OK044	178	14	56
Lawton	OK005	92	Unknown	Unknown
McAlester	OK062	73	118	36
Miami	OK027	243	126	179
Muskogee	ОК099	843	Unknown	230
Norman	OK139	1,185	Unknown	313
Oklahoma City	OK002	4,219	830	8021
Oklahoma HFA	OK901	10,708	Unknown	11,155
Ponca City	OK111	134	70	148
Seminole	OK032	189	53	44
Shawnee	OK095	497	320	623
Stillwater	OK146	656	550	420
Stilwell	OK067	29	Unknown	Unknown
Tecumseh	OK148	31	90	171
Tulsa	OK073	4,808	4951	5859
Wewoka	ОК096	154	Unknown	
Oklahoma		24,612		

Findings and Recommendations

The chronically homeless population remains high in Oklahoma and follows national trends. While this population does not appear to be growing, the needs of the chronically homeless merit continued attention. Ample emergency shelters and soup kitchens must be made available for these sizable population in both urban and rural contexts. Social service providers should be clustered, to the extent possible, where these groups of homeless populations cluster. Given the future projections for the increase in the number of cold and hot days in the region, social service providers must provide places that allow these individuals to seek refuge from the elements.

Those living with HIV/AIDS tend to underreport their status and needs. Given the cost of medical care these individuals face, the need for permanent and stable housing is critical. Housing providers must work to ensure that there are enough units for this undercounted population. Working with county health care providers, OHFA is much more likely to estimate the size and needs of this population of homeless and potentially homeless persons. Special care must be taken to ascertain the barriers these individuals face when using vouchers to secure housing in the marketplace.

Victims of domestic violence require temporary and transitional housing statewide. CoCs with high supportive services tend to better accommodate the housing needs for these population. Cleveland County provides a good model for the State. However, many homeless victims of domestic violence live in rural areas that are underserved. Efforts must be undertaken to work with social services provides, schools, churches, and the police to help identify these individuals and to lead them to available housing and supportive services.

While not mentioned in the PIC data, estimates must be prepared to calculate the number and needs of homeless populations with felonies. In particular, there has been a rise nationally in the number of homeless sex offenders. Zoning regulations and discrimination from the private market has pushed many registered sex offenders to the periphery of many communities. Given their criminal histories, this population of homeless is harder to house but should not be forgotten for health and safety of these individuals and the communities they inhabit.

The size of the homeless veteran population seems to be decreasing as a result of national initiatives to end homelessness for veterans in Oklahoma. The needs of homeless veterans are highest in areas of the State near VA facilities. Temporary and permanent housing should be constructed at a higher rate in these areas to meet demand. Care should be taken to make certain that the housing constructed is built to meet the psychological needs of veterans, particularly those suffering from PTSD.

Rural homelessness, in general, is a challenge to assess and characterize. The rate of homelessness in rural areas is most likely much higher than annual counts demonstrate. The majority of rural homeless likely find shelter out of public view. Some may shelter in their cars, in undeveloped areas or in the homes of those who allow them to stay. They are not likely to find their way to urban areas given their lack of transportation options and preferences for rural living. Programs that are developed to provide shelter to the rural homeless must be developed to allow sheltering in place where possible. Sheltering in place should only be allowed, however, in places where individuals are likely to be able to find what they need, including opportunities to work.

Very little is known about the age distribution of homeless over the age of 24. It is likely that the homeless population, including those who are chronically homeless, is aging. Elderly homeless individuals have special needs. Counts must be more sensitive to understanding the size and needs of this population. This does not mean arbitrarily building units to house this population unless a need can be demonstrated for the same.

Waiting lists for public housing and section 8 vouchers are high across the State. This is not uncommon to Oklahoma. However, when we are considering the size of the population that is at risk to homelessness, these waiting lists are an important factor to consider. Resources should be spent in a manner which is preventative so that these individuals' and families' needs are met before they become homeless.

The absence of affordable housing alternatives across some parts of the State is the largest threat to homelessness. In markets that are constrained by an aging housing stock or those that are rapidly growing, individuals and families who live on the economic margins are at risk for becoming homeless. Communities must work to ensure that zoning regulations promote the development of housing types serving all income levels, including the providing of temporary and permanent housing to meet the needs of the presently homeless and those at risk for becoming the same. Funding distributions should be targeted to communities with the highest needs who are willing to do what is necessary to meet the needs of the homeless and those at risk for the same.

Works Cited

- Continuum of Care Network Pamphlet. 2015
- Cummins, L. K., R. J. First, and B. G. Toomey. "Comparisons of Rural and Urban Homeless Women." *Affilia* 13.4 (1998): 435-53. Web. 24 Oct. 2015.
- First, Richard J., John C. Rife, and Beverly G. Toomey. "Homelessness in Rural Areas: Causes, Patterns, and Trends." *Social Work* 39.1 (1994): 97-108. Web. 24 Oct. 2015.
- Fitchen, Janet M. "Homelessness in Rural Places: Perspectives from Upstate New York." *Urban Anthropology and Studies of Cultural Systems and World Economic Development* 20.2 (1991): 177-210. Institute, Inc. Web. 23 Oct. 2015.
- Levinson, David, and Marcy Ross. Homelessness Handbook. N.p.: Berkshire Group, 2007.
- Milbourne, Paul, and Paul J. Cloke. *International Perspectives on Rural Homelessness*. London: Routledge, 2006.
- Moore, Robert M. *The Hidden America: Social Problems in Rural America for the Twenty-first Century.* Selinsgrove: Susquehanna UP, 2001.
- Rollinson, Paul A., and John T. Pardeck. *Homelessness in Rural America: Policy and Practice*. New York: Haworth, 2006.
- Vissing, Yvonne Marie. Out of Sight, out of Mind: Homeless Children and Families in Small-town America. Lexington, KY: U of Kentucky, 1996.